



## Blimey Roman Catholics and Ecumenicals

**6th of Elul  
5767**



*Issue 17*

*20th August 2007*

Inside this issue:

We have been hearing all sorts of news from the camps of the Roman Catholics, the recent statement by a Roman Catholic Bishop Tiny Muskens in the Netherlands was that the people of all faiths should call Elohim (God) Allah. Wow imagine that. Then on top of that another Father Edward who came on Fox news last week to say that maybe this Bishop was a bit controversial but then goes on to make the most controversial statement himself that Elohim does not care what we call him. In other words though he is condemning the actions of the first but himself makes the same blunder.

<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/20279326/>

Associated Press

	1	
<b>Blimey Roman Catholics and Ecumenicals</b>	2/3	AMSTERDAM - A Roman Catholic Bishop in the Netherlands has proposed people of all faiths refer to God as Allah to foster understanding, stoking an already heated debate on religious tolerance in a country with one million Muslims. Bishop Tiny Muskens, from the southern diocese of Breda, told Dutch television on Monday that God did not mind what he was named and that in Indonesia, where Muskens spent eight years, priests used the word "Allah" while celebrating Mass. "Allah is a very beautiful word for God. Shouldn't we all say that from now on we will name God Allah? ... What does God care what we call him? It is our problem."
<b>Origins of the cross</b>	3/4/ 5	
<b>What shall I call Him, Jesus or Yahshua?</b>	6-12	

### 1 John 1:5

**This is the message which we have heard from Him and declare to you, that Elohim is light and in Him is no darkness at all.**

If you thought this was madness then another case in point was of this ecumenical priest who said she is perfectly happy going to pray in a mosque and in a church same time what I would term ChrIslam mixing of light and darkness and resulting in death. Some British farmers mixed dead animal bones and crushed them into cow food so what did they produce? Death called mad cow disease.

Posted: June 18, 2007  
9:22 p.m. Eastern  
© 2007 WorldNetDaily.com



1 John 1:6 "

If we say that we have fellowship with Him, and walk in darkness, we lie and do not practice the truth.



SEATTLE – A veteran Episcopal priest says she became a Muslim just over a year ago and now worships at a mosque Fridays – but that hasn't stopped her from donning her white collar Sunday mornings.

"I am both Muslim and Christian, just like I'm both an American of African descent and a woman. I'm 100 percent both," Rev. Ann Holmes Redding told the Seattle Times.

Redding, a priest for more than 20 years, until recently was director of faith formation at St. Mark's Episcopal Cathedral in Seattle, the paper reported. Now, she's telling the world about her adherence to Islam, provoking bewilderment from Christians and Muslims.

I don't know what cemetery these people go to or is that seminary, whichever it is because it reeks of death and stench with dead flesh? This is what we in the Nazarene camp expect from most of these already submitted to the Beast of Islam. They should not call themselves Christian because they dishonour our Master and King by blaspheming His set-apart name. Sadly this can only happen to people who call themselves Christian and live disobedient forgetting Yahuweh's set-apart instructions. Mostly, they are not even taught the set-apart name of YHWH so how can they know whether it is sacred or not. Their existence perpetuates the lie that it is ok to call Yahuweh anything we like and is not a problem and He does not care. Wrong, He cares for His name a lot.

Well guess what friends, He does not even want you to name false elohim gods on your name let alone to say its ok to call him whatever we want.

Exodus 23:13 "And in all that I have said to you, be circumspect and make no mention of the name of other elohim (gods), nor let it be heard from your mouth.

For those that think He does not care for His NAME the following should suffice if they have any understanding.

Isaiah 48:11 For My own sake, for My own sake, I will do it; for how should My name be profaned? And I will not give My glory to another.

Jeremiah 34:16 ` Then you turned around and profaned My name, and every one of you brought back his male and female slaves, whom he had set at liberty, at their pleasure, and brought them back into subjection, to be your male and female slaves.'

Ezekiel 20:9 "But I acted for My name's sake, that it should not be profaned before the Gentiles among whom they were, in whose sight I had made Myself known to them, to bring them out of the land of Egypt.

Now, I gave you three witnesses and if you understand anything about witnesses and Torah then none of us can go around profaning His set-apart name and say I did not know this because as our King declared, who is the living Torah Matthew 18:16 "...` *by the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established.*'

With this I want to relate a true story in my own life, I prayed and called in the name of Allah for ten years to speak to me and he never spoke to me even once when I would diligently sit on my mat and call out to him to speak to me to reveal himself to me. However, when I turned from the deaf and dumb Allah and called out in the Almighty creator of this world I

got the answer by Yahushua of Nazareth because only living beings can respond. Now after knowing His personal name I was not going to remain in self created ignorance as many are in the habit of and not call Him by His personal name Jesus. Eh Jesus? I mean Yahushua:-)

Simon Altaf

<http://werunited.com/yhyby/stillborn.htm#ch10>

### Origin of the Cross

A tradition of the Church which our fathers have inherited, was the adoption of the words "cross" and "crucify." These words are nowhere to be found in the Greek of the New Testament. These words are mistranslations, a "later rendering," of the Greek words *stauros* and *stauroo*. Vine's Expository Dictionary of New Testament Words says, "STAUROS denotes, primarily, an upright pole or stake...Both the noun and the verb *stauroo*, to fasten to a stake or pole, are originally to be distinguished from the ecclesiastical form of a two-beamed cross. The shape of the latter had its origin in ancient Chaldea (Babylon), and was used as the symbol of the god Tammuz (being in the shape of the mystic Tau, the initial of his name)...By the middle of the 3rd century A.D. the churches had either departed from, or changed certain doctrines of the Christian faith. In order to increase the prestige of the apostate ecclesiastical system pagans were received into the churches apart from regeneration by faith, and were permitted largely to retain their pagan signs and symbols. Hence the Tau or T, in its most frequent form, with the cross piece lowered, was adopted..."

Dr. Bullinger, *The Companion Bible*, app. 162 states, "crosses were used as symbols of the Babylonian Sun-god...It should be stated that Constantine was a Sun-god worshipper...The evidence is thus complete, that Yahweh was put to death upon and upright stake, and not on two pieces of timber placed at any angle."

Rev. Alexander Hislop, *The Two Babylons*, pp. 197-205, frankly calls the cross "this Pagan symbol...the Tau, the sign of the cross, the indisputable sign of Tammuz, the false Messiah...the mystic Tau of the Chaldeans (Babylonians) and Egyptians--the true original form of the letter T--the initial of the name of Tammuz...the Babylonian cross was the recognized emblem of Tammuz."

In the *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 11th edition, vol. 14, p. 273, we read, "In the Egyptian churches the cross was a pagan symbol of life borrowed by the Christians and interpreted in the pagan manner." Jacob Grimm, in his *Deutsche Mythologie*, says that the Teutonic (Germanic) tribes had their idol Thor, symbolized by a hammer, while the Roman Christians had their *crux* (cross). It was thus somewhat easier for the Teutons to accept the Roman cross.

Greek dictionaries, lexicons and other study books also declare the primary meaning of *stauros* to be an upright pale, pole or stake. The secondary meaning of "cross" is admitted by them to be a "later" rendering. At least two of them do not even mention "cross," and only render the meaning as "pole or stake." In spite of this strong evidence and proof that the word *stauros* should have been translated "stake," and the verb *stauroo* to have been translated "impale," almost all the common versions of the Scriptures persist with the Latin Vulgate's *crux* (cross), a "later" rendering of the Greek *stauros*.

While it is true that *stauros* means stake or pole, it does not rule out that a cross piece was not attached to it. Look at modern times for example. A post often has something else attached to it like a wire fence, a mail box, or even a sign. The Greek word "*stauros*" itself does not explain whether anything is, or is not attached to it. Even early Christian writers spoke of the cross as having four limbs pointing above, below, and to both sides. Irenaeus mentioned the cross as "two in length, and two in breadth." Justin Martyr spoke of the cross as having "one beam placed upright" and "the other beam fitted on to it."

## Origins of the cross

Historical evidence points to Constantine as the one who had the major share in uniting Sun-worship and the Messianic Belief. Constantine's famous vision of "the cross superimposed on the sun," in the year 312, is usually cited. Writers, ignorant of the fact that the cross was not to be found in the New Testament Scriptures, put much emphasis on this vision as the onset of the so-called "conversion" of Constantine. But, unless Constantine had been misguided by the Gnostic Manichean half-Christians, who indeed used the cross in their hybrid religion, this version of the cross superimposed on the sun could only be the same old solar cross, the symbol of the Sun-deity, the centre of cosmic religion, the astrological religion of Babylon.

The fact remains: that which Constantine saw, is nowhere to be found in Scripture. We read in the book of Johannes Geffcken, *The Last Days of Greco-Roman Paganism*. p. 319, "that even after 314 A.D. the coins of Constantine show an even-armed cross as a symbol for the Sun-god."

Many scholars have doubted the "conversion" of Constantine because of the wicked deeds that he did afterwards, and because of the fact that he only requested to be baptized on his death-bed many years later, in the year 337. So, if the vision of the cross impressed him, and was used as a rallying symbol, it could not have been in honour of our Saviour, because Constantine is attested of by his persistent use of images of the Sun-deity on his coins that were issued by him up to the year 323. Secondly, the fact of his motivation to issue his Sunday-keeping edict in the year 321, which was not done in honour of our Saviour, but was done because of the "venerable day of the Sun," as the edict read, is proof of his continued allegiance to Sol Invictus. (Deut.12:30-31)

Where did the cross come from, then? J.C. Cooper, *An Illustrated Encyclopedia of Traditional Symbols*, p.45, aptly summarizes it, "Cross--A universal symbol from the most remote times; it is the cosmic symbol par excellence." Other authorities also call it a sun-symbol, a Babylonian sun-symbol, an astrological Babylonian-Assyrian and heathen sun-symbol, also in the form of an encircled cross referred to as a "solar wheel," and many other varieties of crosses. Also, "the cross represents the non-Torah Tree of Life, the age-old fertility symbol, combining the vertical male and horizontal female principles, especially in Egypt, either as an ordinary cross, or better known in the form of the cruse ansata, the Egyptian ankh (sometimes called: the Tau cross), which had been carried over into our modern-day symbol of the female, well known in biology.

As stated above, the indisputable sign of Tammuz, the mystic Tau of the Babylonians and Egyptians, was brought into the Church chiefly because of Constantine, and has since been adored with all the homage due only to the Most High. The Protestants have for many years refrained from undue adoration of or homage to, the cross, especially in England at the time of the Puritans in the 16th and 17th centuries. But lately this un-Scriptural symbol has been increasingly accepted in Protestantism. We have previously discussed "the weeping for Tammuz," and the similarity between the pagan Easter resurrection and the return or rising of Tammuz. Tammuz was the young incarnate Sun, the Sun-divinity incarnate. This same Sun-deity, known amongst the Babylonians as Tammuz, was identified with the Greek Adonis and with the Phoenician Adoni, all of them Sun-deities, being slain in winter, then being "wept for," and their return being celebrated by a festivity in spring, while some had it in summer--according to the myths of pagan idolatry.

The evidence for its pagan origin is so convincing that The Catholic Encyclopedia admits that "the sign of the cross, represented in its simplest form by a crossing of two lines at right angles, greatly antedates, in both East and the West, the introduction of Christianity. It goes back to a very remote period of human civilization." It then continues and refers to the Tau cross of the pagan Egyptians, "In later times the Egyptian Christians (Copts), attracted by its form, and perhaps by its symbolism, adopted it as the emblem of the cross."

Further proof of its pagan origin is the recorded evidence of the Vestal Virgins of pagan Rome having the cross hanging on a necklace, and the Egyptians doing it too, as early as the 15th century B.C.E. The Buddhists, and numerous other sects of India, also used the sign of the cross as a mark on their followers' heads. "The cross thus widely worshipped, or regarded as a 'sacred emblem,' was the unequivocal symbol of Bacchus, the Babylonian Messiah, for he was represented with a head-band covered with crosses."

After Constantine had the "vision of the cross," he and his army promoted another variety of the cross, the Chi-Rho or Labarum. This has subsequently been explained as representing the first letters of the name Christos, But again, this had a pagan origin. They were found as inscriptions on rock,

## Origins of the cross

dating from the year ca. 2500 B.C.E., being interpreted as "a combination of two Sun-symbols, known as the Ax- or Hammer-symbol of the Sun- or Sky-deity, and the + or X as the ancient symbol of the Sun, both of these signs having a sensual or fertility meaning as well. Another proof of its pagan origin is found on a coin of Ptolemæus III from the year 247-222 B.C.E.

A well-known encyclopedia describes the Labarum (Chi-Rho) as, "The labarum was also an emblem of the Chaldean (Babylonian) sky-god and in Christianity it was adopted..." Emperor Constantine adopted this Labarum as the imperial ensign and thereby succeeded in "uniting both divisions of his troops, pagans and Christians, in a common worship..."

According to Suicer the word (labarum) came into use in the reign of Hadrian, and was probably adopted from one of the nations conquered by the Romans." It must be remembered that Hadrian reigned in the years 76-138 C.E., that he was a pagan emperor, worshipped the Sun-deity Serapes when he visited Alexandria, and was vehemently anti-Judaistic, being responsible for the final near-destruction of Jerusalem in the years 130-135 C.E.

Another dictionary relates the following about the Chi-Rho, "However, the symbol was in the use long before Christianity, and X (Chi) probably stood for Great Fire or Sun, and P (Rho) probably stood for Pater or Patah (Father). The word labarum (la-baar-um) yields everlasting Father Sun."

Let me give you one last thing to dwell on. In Ezekiel 9, it speaks of a mark that was placed upon the foreheads of the men that sigh and that cry for all the abominations that be done in the midst thereof (Eze 9:4). The Pulpit Commentary says that According to the best interpretation of the text the mark seems to have been a cross. According to Harper's Bible Commentary the letter Tau in Near Eastern languages could be written as "+" or "T" and so it was not unnatural for early Christian writers, such as Origen and Tertullian, to see in this a type of the cross of Christ. Now remember, it was not Yahweh who had commanded that the mark (or "T") be placed on the forehead of the righteous. If this was a symbol of Tammuz which Yahweh despised, then why would He have this mark put on the foreheads of those men? If the pagans who worshipped Tammuz whose symbol was a cross, as Hislop tells us, then placing this mark on the righteous would be inconsistent when looking at the context, for only eight verses earlier (Eze 8:14) Tammuz worshipped was condemned! The claim that "it does not mean that to me" is called an Abomination by God in Deuteronomy 12:30-31 & 18:9.

### What shall I call Him, Jesus or Yahushua?

Although I am not pedantic or dogmatic of certain things, you can choose to call him Jesus, Isa or Jesu but none of these are or were ever His true NAME but He can and does answer under these names even though He is not called any of these but you may ask why should I use His true name why not just use Jesus or some other form? I believe for a proper understanding we need to understand that Yahuweh wants to be honoured in our lives and He wants worship and love from us to all fellow men and especially reverence toward Him to demonstrate who we worship. By just saying I worship God well which God do you worship in this multicultural society? Yahuweh, Allah, Krishna or Jupiter or the god of atheism? Yes there is a god of atheism and he is called "I don't exist".

If my name is Simon then I like to be called Simon, though I may not mind being called Si or Simeon or my proper Hebrew name Shim'on but you could call me Sam and I may not mind at all but I would not accept these as my legal names such as Sam, Samson or Samuel even though they are similar sounding or even close to my real name? Let me ask you a question, would I be happy being called David or John? So if I as a mere mortal don't like it if you called me David that means I carry the characteristic of love and hate which also came from the creator Yahuweh who would also hate it if we demean His name and bring it to nothing by changing it. How many of you know that it is a sin to change His name or to bring it to nothing, true or false?

#### Restoration Scriptures True Names Edition Bible

Shemoth (Exodus) 20:7 You shall not bring the Name of hwhy [YHWH] your Elohim to vain emptiness; for hwhy [YHWH] will not hold him guiltless that brings His NAME to vain EMPTINESS.

I. Most religions blatantly violate this command. The Hebrew for vain is shavah, meaning "to bring to nothing, or erasing from man's usage." It does not mean as erroneously taught that using it in vain is actually saying it. The truth is just the opposite.

Isaiah 42:8 I am hwhy that is My NAME and My tifereth will I not give to another, neither My hallel to graven images.

His NAME is and not just a "was" Yahuweh but IS Yahuweh so please understand that in His NAME reflects His nature. Any other human inventions such as Allah, Krishna are just graven images and nothing more thus not worthy to be glorified.

Acts 26:14-15 And when we were all fallen to the earth, I heard a voice speaking unto me, and saying in the Hebrew tongue, **Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me? it is hard for thee to kick against the pricks.** (15) And I said, Who art thou, Master? And He said, **I am Yahushua whom thou persecutest.**

For those that don't know the Saviour's NAME and His native language it is the Hebrew language and the NAME is Yahushua the same pronunciation to Joshua or many today call Him Yeshua the shortened form which actually sorry to break men traditions does not even mean salvation contrary to popular belief. Yeshua is the shortened form of the Aramaic language for Yahushua.

Let me answer the rest below to help our reader...

Question) Shalom Rav Simon,

For instance. I attend a church where the leadership allows unbiblical things to occur, but put up instant walls when I ask for the likes of you to be able to speak to the people. So, many will say Jesus has saved Millions of people over the years, decades, centuries. They will say that the name Jesus SAVES! So, why do I have to use another one?

Rabbi Simon responds:

Good point about that the name to use Jesus or whatever people are used to calling Him but would it be ok if they referred to him as Shaitan (Satan) and if it is not ok then why is it not ok to call Him Shaitan? You can call Him Jesus but He does have a NAME in Hebrew that we do know then what is the problem in using it? His NAME reflects His character so it would be right to reflect His character under His Hebrew name such as Yahushua since that means Yahuweh He is Salvation and is entirely Biblical to do that contrary to popular

preacher arguments and it also reflects the masculine character removing all the worlds female deities like Hindus worship. Let me show you how.

**NKJV**

Isaiah 12:2 Behold, God is my salvation, I will trust and not be afraid; ` For YAH, the Lord, is my strength and my song; He also has become my salvation.

**RSTNE Bible YATI**

Isaiah 12:2 See, El is my Yahshuah; I will trust, and will not be afraid: for Yah-hwhy is my Strength and my Shir; He also has become my Yahshua.

Now if you look at the above you will see why the translation literally says EL Yah or Yah YHWH has become my salvation i.e. Yahushua yet the crowd wants to do unbiblical things and not call Him by His rightful Name. In other words Christians are happy to live Paganised lives and be pigganised by the church system but not look to why the Saviour who HAS a rightful NAME that actually He desires to have restored.

The Questioner continues;

{{See below for something I found online that has many of the possible objections that I might hear. I might not since many here respect me and may not go all out ballistic in their inquiries, but they sill WONDER inside their heads.}}

<http://www.evangelicaloutreach.org/yeshua.htm> - One website author puts it like this

To the millions of people that have been saved, healed and delivered from sin, sickness and demons because of the precious name of Jesus these aforementioned types of attacks on the Savior's name are most disturbing. I for one am no longer passive about this as I once was. At least many, if not all, Yeshua people are spreading amazing lies, fabrications and misinformation about the name that makes demons shudder and brings salvation and therefore they need to be corrected and opposed.

For The Record.

**Rabbi Simon responds:**

Unfortunately such websites do not honour or glorify His NAME or His character by hurling abuse at others who at least try to correct themselves who he calls Yeshua's people, in his very sentiment is the hidden agenda of anti-Semitism and sadly many churches are full of this type of theology and hypocrisy.

This preacher further elaborates his idea!

Evangelical Website author: Jesus is not derived from the pagan source of Isus.

I say Ignorance is bliss. I am not contending for G-Zeus the pagan deity or e-zeus the healing zeus. Why would the Saviour who has a NAME want to be called by another NAME? READ Isaiah 42:8 HE WILL NOT GIVE GLORY TO ANOTHER. Then we have the title LORD which is a translation for Baal one of many false deities of the Bible, yet we are told by the typical Pastors that using the word or term Lord is ok. Let me put it this way if it is alright to say LORD then is it ok to call Elohim Satan? Now they will always answer in the negative about this so you can see how they contradict themselves? The point being that it is not ok to just use any NAME well if it is then is ok to pray in the NAME of MUHAMMAD but let's all pretend it means Yahushua shall we? Sadly in the pulpits lies are sold as truth then they wonder why Jewish people and Muslim people do not come to faith. Stop the Lies and then see the results.

Evangelical Website author: Jesus is not a false name.

If it was never true then would showed we even consider it, you cannot extrapolate this back when the question is wrongly worded? Since we accept the Saviour answers prayers in the calling of Jesus or Isus but that does not change His NAME or His holy Character. His nature of being "love" remains the reason why He draws people into repentance, who may call Him by many different names yet He is identified by His Hebrew

NAME and the reason will be explained later because NAME means NATURE.

Evangelical Website author: Jesus is not of pagan origins.

As show above it has pagan connections but I am not arguing paganism but for HOLINESS, can you understand that?

Evangelical Website author: Jesus is not a false name for Messiah.

The problem with this website author is that all his questions are wrong. The issue is not whether it is false or not false but this is not His birth given NAME and no Jewish person searching for His long awaited Messiah would immediately find the Messiah in a Greek NAME which is even wrongly transliterated. Sure you can call Him Jesu, Jesus but that is not His NAME and He Himself declares *I will not give glory to another*. Therefore, we can see how this person though claiming not to be anti-Torah, claiming not to be Anti-Jewish has actually become anti-Torah/anti-Semite and an obstacle to a Jewish person trying to find His Messiah!

### **Irrefutable proof that the NT has the correct Name**

Sometimes mistakes can lead to the truth incredible though as it may seem but we can find this in the New Testament (Brit Chadasha).

We find a verse in Hebrews 4.

KJV

**Hebrews 4:8** For if Jesus had given them rest, then would he not afterward have spoken of another day.

Clearly this was a mistake in the spelling but this shows us something very important in the Greek that the authors who were translation the Hebrew yes you got that right Hebrew read the name as Yahushua and not Jesus since that word they wanted to put in there was Joshua but ended up getting confused that it was Jesus i.e. the Hebrew word Yahushua which is the correct form for Joshua because that is what should have been in the text.

Now let us look at the corrected name in the NKJV.

**Hebrews 4:8** For if Joshua had given them rest, then He would not afterward have spoken of another day.

Now remember this that Joshua in the Hebrew is written as Yahushua or Yahoshua. Amazing how sometimes the truth is before us but we just don't see it. Here clearly not only was the Saviours name not present but they thought and read Yahushua as the saviour's name clearly spelling it as Jesus thus we know that the NT contained the true sacred Names which were transliterated into the Greek language thus we lose their original flavour because Greek did not have all the necessary sounds and letters.

### **We Find The Same Truth In The Gospel Of Luke Leaving Us With No Illusions About The Truth.**

**Luke 1:31-32** "And behold, you will conceive in your womb and bring forth a Son, and shall call His name Jesus. (32) "He will be great, and will be called the Son of the Highest; and the Lord God will give Him the throne of His father David.

Of course as I illustrated from the reference in **Hebrews 4:8** the Greek form Iesous was actually taken from Yahushua and thus we can see even the Angel Gabriel confirmed that His NAME will be Yahushua, he even spells it out that He will be called **the Son of the most High Yahuweh**. This is more clearer in the Peshitta Aramaic, where ever we have the term Lord we can translate it back safely to Yahuweh or MarYah in the Aramaic which means Master Yahuweh.

Restored Scriptures True Names Edition RSTNE Bible YATI

**Luke 1:31-32** And, see, you shall conceive in your womb, and bring forth a Son, and shall call His Name ucwhy. (32) He shall be great and shall be called the Son of the Highest: and the Master hwhy ahla shall give to Him the kesay of His abba Dawid: <sup>[1]</sup>

This proves my point fully for the sacred Name to be Yahushua and none other.

### **[1] Not the throne of Roman pontiffs.**

The author of that website even goes further daring to state this.

I like to ask the Yeshua people the following: *Would you please give us a Bible verse from the Hebrew Scriptures that tells us Messiah's name is Yeshua?* None have ever done so or ever will since Yeshua is never found in the OT or NT in reference to Messiah. Messiah is never identified by name in the Hebrew Old Testament Scriptures.

### **Rabbi Simon responds:**

We can see this kind of childish rhetoric from such preachers but he denies the fact that you can find Yeshuah in the OT or NT in many places if you had the eyes to see it by taking your blinkers off.

I have given several verses in this paper that show His name is Yahushua in the NT and I can show you OT also. Here is one.

#### **NKJV**

**Isaiah 49:6** Indeed He says, `It is too small a thing that You should be My Servant to raise up the tribes of Jacob, and to restore the preserved ones of Israel; I will also give You as a light to the Gentiles, that You should be My salvation [YAHSHUAH] to the ends of the earth.' "

#### **RSTNE Bible**

**Isaiah 49:6** And He said, It is a light, easy and small thing that You should be My Eved to raise up the tribes of Yaakov, and to restore the preserved ones of Yisrael: I will also give You for a Light to the heathen, that You may be My Yahshuah to the ends of the earth.

#### **RSTNE Bible YATI**

**Isaiah 12:2** See, El is my Yahshuah; **ישוע!** I will trust, and will not be afraid: for Yah-hwhy is my Strength and my Shir; He also has become my Yahshuah **ישועה!**

The Hebrew rendering of this is **ישועה** with an extra Heh at the end which is literally reflected for Yahushua [Jesus] of Nazareth with a twist, in other words the word for salvation is actually with a Heh at the end. Sadly many do not know that Yeshua is not the word for salvation but **Yeshuah** is, notice the Heh at the end. In Hebrew even the vowel points on both Yeshua and Yeshuah are different. Let the blind stay blind and those that see come to know that Yahushua is in the Tenach (OT) as much as in the NT in the very language Yahuweh calls pure. Here the term Yahushuah is the feminine form thus it adds the twist but the prophets fully confirmed what we already know to be true. This is connected to the Red Heifer but we will talk about this another time not now.

### **Zechariah iterated what Yahuweh wanted to tell the world all along.**

**Zechariah 6:9-13** And the word of Yahuweh came unto me, saying, (10) Take of them of the captivity, even of Heldai, of Tobijah, and of Jedaiah, which are come from Babylon, and come thou the same day, and go into the house of Josiah the son of Zephaniah; (11) Then take silver and gold, and make crowns, and set them upon the head of Joshua [YAHUSHUA] the son of Josedech, the high priest; (12) And speak unto him, saying, Thus speaketh Yahuweh of hosts, saying, Behold the man whose name is The BRANCH; and he shall grow up out of his place, and he shall build the temple of Yahuweh: 13 Even he shall build the temple of Yahuweh; and he shall bear the glory, and shall sit and rule upon his throne; and he shall be a priest upon his throne: and the counsel of peace shall be between them both.

### **Clearly the word Joshua is spelt as Yahushua which is the Saviour's proper name.**

Evangelical Website author: Jesus is not a twice-removed translation of the name.

Here is my challenge to this author, please show me one reference of Hebrew or Aramaic where the Saviour's name is JESUS? If you cannot then swallow your pride and accept the name Jesus is transliterated incorrectly and it is a bad transliteration since there was no letter J in the Hebrew language but try telling this to the

ignorant masses in Christendom! So I would say that you are right that it is not twice removed but THRICE removed. No wonder Christians are the laughing stock of Jewish people and Muslims because both know that they have no clue about the original Hebrew and how names are spelt or transliterated. Even Allah worshippers carry the name of their false deity across all languages without any bad transliteration.

Evangelical Website author: Jesus is not merely a name used by boastful Christians who killed Jews.

Today it may not be used by boastful Christians but at one time in our history it was used by boastful Christians to kill Jews no one can deny that brutal murder was committed by many so called Jesus worshippers when the Jewish people were killed as Christ killers. Need we remind you that it was the same boastful people who threw the Jewish people out of England twice.

Evangelical Website author: Jesus is not being used for the sake of (antitorah, antijewish) tradition.

Not always true. Some Torah hating congregations don't like to use Yahushua out of ignorance and pure contempt, they even go so far as to discredit those that call Him Yeshua as the author of the above question has demonstrated himself above by belittling those that at least try to fix their error.

Evangelical Website author: There is no emphasis in the Bible to restore to the Savior His Jewish identity.

This is totally incorrect. There is every emphasis in restoring the whole identity of the Bride and the Bridegroom. Many Christians live in lala land and so we find these kind of statements shown by many many authors. Welcome to what I call microwave Christianity in the Western lands, as are the microwave foods the people got used to so are also their microwave theologies. What a wonderful world of cuckoo Christianity where everything is true and nothing needs to be verified where Yahushua is their slave to perform their miracles for them, the concept of holiness is just for the prophets of Yahuweh.

Evangelical Website author: Jesus is the only name in which salvation is found (Acts 4:12).

Sorry the term given in the Hebrew was not transliterated as Jesus but Yahushua, next time please check the Hebrew since Yahushua did not speak Greek but Hebrew/Aramaic. No Jewish person in his right mind would be looking for a Jewish man called Jesus because they would not recognise Him as a native, it is like an Eskimo looking for a green tree, it just does not happen! The problem is that the Western worldview is linear Greek logic instead of cyclical Hebrew and most Western people have no distinctive understanding of these cultures. Typically for them every person in Israel speaks English and is Jewish, and in Arab countries they are all Arab and Muslim, I bet they even think Iraqis, Iranians are all Arab when they are not. The way Yahuweh said it is that He became our Salvation (Yahushua) please read Isaiah 12:2, which tells us that His NAME, Character endows salvation revealed in the Son Yahushua. This is a very Hebrew trait not an average Western idea of just naming someone out of the blue. His name was NOT Jesus.

**Acts 26:15 And I said, Who are You, Master? And He said, I am יהושע whom you persecute.**

Evangelical Website author: Could This Be The Great Apostasy?

No, the great apostasy is the faith of believers going cold and not to do with a NAME switch plus the blindness that exists in the church to do with recognition of the sons of Israel the 12 tribes and the true bride of Yahushua who is Israel and not some entity concocted by theologians called a church.

Let's get down to the nitty gritty no nonsense truth of the matter. Lets deal with the why should I call Him issue. First of all understand that Yahuweh Elohim requires us to live lives separated and Holy for Him. His very NAME reflects His character, His attributes such as grace, mercy and compassion which we sum up in one - **unconditional LOVE**. His name is related to His nature so by calling Him Yahushua we express His nature that He is Yahuweh Elohim and The Saviour Redeemer. If you simply said Jesus or Isous then that does not reflect His complete nature because in the English language we cannot translate Jesus into anything meaningful. We need to remember in Hebrew/Middle-Eastern culture Name is related to nature. This is why we find Yahuweh changing the name of Abraham from Abram to Abraham which means Exalted Father with a heh i.e. this is the exalted father who crossed over from death (Iraq) into life to be born again. This very idea is reflected in His nature especially giving the NAME Yahushua to Mary's son thus showing the importance of what is in a NAME. Even in ancient

Hebrew it is more correct to write Yahuseph not Yoseph for Joseph and Yahuel rather than Joel, in other words where is the missing Heh.

A friend Robert Young quotes in his book **Name above ALL Names** page 75/76 as follows:

As one author put it, "Once the Jews came under Greek influence, we note the tendency to replace or to translate Jewish names by similar sounding Greek names." (My highlighting.) [ From a quotation of E. L. Sukenik *Journal of Palestinian Oriental Society* 8-1927, pgs, 113-121, given by Gerhard Kittel *Theological Dictionary of the New Testament*, vol. 3, page 286.] The *Universal Jewish Encyclopedia* has this entry: "Jason (Greek form of the Aramaic of the name of Joshua)." [ Vol. 6, pg. 42, 1948 copyright.]

On page 110 of *The New Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge* we read, "JASON: A Greek name borne often by Jews of the Maccabean or later times and by Jewish Christians. On account of its resemblance to the Hebrew-Jewish name Jesus or Joshua, it was often assumed by Jews inclined to Greek culture or living in a Greek environment." (My underlining)

Of course, one has to overlook their saying "Jesus or Joshua". What they obviously mean is the Hebrew name commonly called "Jesus" and "Joshua" in English usage. Their scholarship is too good for them to mean that "Jesus" or "Joshua" are the correct sounds of this one Hebrew name found written in the English both as "Jesus" (when referring to the Messiah) and "Joshua" (when referring to the son of Nun). In dealing with the subject of what "JASON" is, they are not trying to be exact about the pronunciation of the Hebrew, but merely using "Jesus or Joshua" so the public, in their limited knowledge, will understand the main point.

In other words my friend says that the Name was never pronounced Jesus but as Jason which later got transliterated into Jesus with the advent of the J about four centuries ago.

My friend Robert beautifully sums it like this on page 77 of his book **Name above ALL Names**

So the sum of the matter is this. The Hebrew name of our Savior was a name first developed by Moses through combining the name of our Creator, "Yah", with the name of his servant - the man today commonly called "Joshua". He changed his servant's name, which meant "Salvation", by calling him "Yah-Salvation". Therefore, the significance is primarily in the sound of this name that Moses "called" him so that when a Hebrew heard that name spoken he would be hearing "Yah is Salvation". The written form of the name in Hebrew (or any other language) is primarily a group of symbols used to portray the sound wherein the real significance exists.

The prophet Moses changed the name of Hoshea to Yahushua in the Hebrew and with the combination of Yah the name of Yahuweh we get Yahushua.

How do we know the pronunciation of Yahushua or Yahuweh is correct with a short oo sound in the middle. We only need to look at the prophets names such as Eliyahu who carried the name of Yahuweh also reflecting the NAME of the Saviour. ELI-YAHU so we can see that it is YAHU at the end. How about Yesha-YAHU (Isaiah) so from at least two prophets names we can prove that the correct rendering of Yahuweh or Yahuweh with a short aspirated OO sound and the Saviour's name with a short aspirated OO as well such as Yahushua. Some choose Yeshua or Yahshua though I do not condemn or criticise such transliterations unlike some others because I find they are also closer to the original and simply the shorter forms used post exile but I will caution that Yeshua does not mean salvation without the missing heh but nevertheless its a start to switch from the tradition of Jesus to Yeshuah.

The interesting bit is this that Moses deliberately chose to rename Oshea to Yahushua (today's transliterated Joshua) which in the Hebrew is exactly written like יהושע Yahuweh). Now why did Moses do that? Here is my explanation on the matter, Moses knew that Oshea (Numbers 13:16) reflected the word meaning salvation but who was giving that salvation, where did it come from? In times past and even in today's world many people are named after false gods or incorporate the names of their gods in their own names e.g. Gnaneshwari reflects the god of the Hindus with the elephant head and human body, we have Abdullah which means "slave of Allah" so such names are common in today's eastern cultures likewise the association of Name with nature and person with whom he is attached was very important in Biblical times thus Moses to reflect where the salvation came from rather than just knowing about salvation wanted to show Yahushua (Joshua) had a nature change and thus ascribed the name Yahushua not just because it looks fancy but because it reflects the Saviour's name in there with

### Truth Unleashed

**Matthew 28:19-20** "Go therefore and **make disciples** of ALL the nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit, **"teaching them to OBSERVE ALL things that I have commanded you;** and lo, I am with you always, even to the end of the age." Amen.

Do you love Yahushua?

**John 14:15** If you love me, OBEY My commandments.

Messianic Rabbi Simon (an ex-Muslim convert disciple of Yahushua making more disciples for the Master.

Email: [shimoun63@yahoo.com](mailto:shimoun63@yahoo.com)  
<http://www.abrahamic-faith.com>  
<http://www.yesumasih.com>  
 Radio  
<http://www.debate.org.uk/topics/coolcalm>

salvation such as "Yahuweh He is salvation" or "Yahuweh has become my personal salvation". Now when someone would see Joshua they would instantly know which Elohim He ascribes to himself. We know this is true when we see many names in the Bible with the false deity names such as Sanballat (Neh 4:1) which carries the false deity of Allat in his name who was a goddess people worshipped and ended up as a daughter of Allah in Mecca.

### The Bottom line

We have transliteration of the sacred name in the ancient cuneiform script with written vowels of this language. In 1898 A. H. Sayce transliterated three cuneiform tablets dating back to Hammurabi that clearly said "Jahweh is God." [1], [Hammurabi](#) was the 6th king in the period [1792-1750 BC](#)

[1] See Halley's Bible Handbook, p. 62

In addition the cuneiform inscriptions known as the Murashu texts dating back to about 500BC leave no room for any further debate give the game away that the term Yahuweh is correct and even the term Yahushua is more accurate with clear pronounceable vowels. This means the Masorets change is a very late change and when we go back to the older evidence, we can get an accurate transliteration of the most set-apart name.

For further reading on why Yahushua is the correct form please see this link.

<http://www.elijah.com/yahushua.html>

### Most wanted Terrorist List!

<http://www.fbi.gov/mostwant/terrorists/fugitives.htm>

Dear Muslim,  
Meet יהוה the God of Abraham



Simon Altaf is a prophecy scholar a Messianic Rabbi and a writer who has debated many people on different aspects of the Bible, he is the author of six books <http://www.abrahamic-faith.com/Books/books.html> one co-authored with Walid Shoebat. He has consistently warned the Church of its lax attitude towards Israel and the Jewish people and the lack of understanding of restoration of the twelve tribes of Israel mandated by our Father in heaven. We must be careful not to reject Yahuweh's holy commandments. This is our call to re-examine our attitudes towards our brothers Jewish Israel (Judah) and repentance of any error in our life for our current and past mistakes before the great King of Israel returns to judge us all.

If you want to be kept up to date of developments in our ministry projects and our newsletter please send an e-mail to [shimoun63@yahoo.com](mailto:shimoun63@yahoo.com).

### Abrahamic-Faith

### A bit of very good news

Two weeks ago Rabbi Simon brought a Chinese man to faith who freshly landed in London from Beijing, in the Saviour

